

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1909.
(change)

File No. 395-09

Serial Nos. 1 to 10

Papers Filed.

Month April 1911

SUBJECT
Appeal of Subba Bhatta
Subba Bhatta re transfer of
from the Archid's place
Gopalakrishna Devam re
at Gopalapura, Afrahar. 7

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.

LATER REFERENCES.

117-206-12

GRAN III

1111 1.

MINORAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(100000)

NOTED

Page No. 1008

11-11-1964

[illegible]

[Faint handwritten notes at the bottom of the page]

No.

1. Background

References

Item No. 4, 5 }
}

Methods

[illegible][illegible]

History Abstracts on Earth

NOTHING AND COMBINED

Name: _____

Abstract

Serial
No.

to enable them to produce a number of documents in their possession and also for the restoration of the produce of the Inam lands held under deposit by the local authorities.

Submitted with a Wt. for approval or other orders.

AM
14.3.10 W

From, Bhushtha Khatta and Subba Khatta,
Petition Dt 19.2.10

6. Produce a copy of the order ~~and~~ ^{appeal} against and complain that the local authorities are putting much pressure upon them and pray for speedy orders in the matter.

7. The Dy Commr's. order says that the delay in carrying out his order gave an opportunity to the appellants to take away the produce of the lands from the ryots; that the shekdar whose conduct was suspicious, was suspended for 6 months and the appellants ^{should be} ~~have~~ directed to deliver the produce or its value within a month, failing which coercive processes should be adopted for its recovery; That ¹¹⁹⁷¹ ~~Rs 1000~~ and odd presented by Subba ~~to~~ Khatta should be credited to the funds

3665.

Serial
No.

Call for a full report with
records from the D.C.
~~The~~ pending further orders,
further coercive
no steps should be adopted
against the petitioners.

2/5/10

[Signature]

K.L.

[Signature]
5/5/10

Draft sent.

[Signature]
5.5.10

[Signature]
5/5/10

2.

To, the Dy. Commr, Shimoga Dist.
Letter no. 2728 of 13.5.1910
forwarded & 1 for report
with records and direct that
pending further orders, no coercive
steps should be adopted against
the petrs

3.

From, Bhishtha Bhatla,
Petition of 15.7.1910.
Presently forwards certain
documents relating to the case.

4.

From, Bhishtha Bhatla,
Petition of 15.7.1910
forwarded copy of the order

(Kept in file
no. 282-10)
as per order, the
subject being
different

Serial
No.

576

appeared against and prays that
he may be heard in person by
Gmt.

From, the Dy. Commr., Shimoga Dist.
Letters nos $\frac{56}{10}$, $\frac{69}{12}$ D 2 217¹⁶ August
1910

Retransmits the petitions of Sutha
Bhatta and Bhista Bhatta and
re submits detailed report
called for on the subject.

Patel to the 2nd Nov 1910 Lease
notice. See back the record under the
1st Nov.

15/11/1910

of notices, submit Lease
19/9/10

The Appellants were recog-
nised as managers during the
main settlement. They have
not been informed on what
grounds resumption was
ordered.

The Appt. Bhishabhatta
says that he, at a certain
went out was not questioned
by the Amildar.

[Signature]

Serial
No.

Order

The ~~ass~~ ^{Complain} Complaint of the appellants, that they were not given proper notice ~~to~~ ^{be} ~~well founded~~. They allege that the temple is in good repair, that they have been performing Puja regularly, that the lands yield as much as they do on account of their having improved them at their cost.

The Dy C= will be requested to hold a further enquiry after giving them notice, and to pass ~~an order~~ a regular order.

It may be possible to provide for repair of the temple out of money now in the hands of Govt & to arrange for regular worship ~~and~~ to fix a date, to the new-

Serial
No.

tenance of some reserve fund
for repairs, and to super-
vision by dharmadarsas,
without the necessity of dis-
lodging the heris as in the
charge of the temples.

25/11/10

[Signature]

Page 9)

Submitted with a draft of the order
to the Amildar in his order No. 1166 of 1906 he
said that though notice was issued the parties
would not, for flimsy reasons, appear & beg
to submit that the first sentence may be omitted
in the order to be issued.

Till this matter is finally disposed of I suppose the
order under which the temples and endowments should be under the manage-
ment is to be in force.

The order ~~recommends~~, directing the recording of the
value of the property as perhaps to be taken

[Signature]

Draft Appd.

[Signature]

17/11/10
11/10/10

919

20/30/10

Serial
No.

I think undue to the appellant as this
case is desirable - may be issued

RL

9.12.10

ys 52

14.12.10

W1.

Submitted with an Indt

PVC(1323)

19.12.10

RL(26)

Issue

19.12.1910

7, 8.

To, The Dy Commr, Shimoga Dist

G.O. no 1527 dt 7-12-1910

Communicates the Councillores' order recorded on pages 6, 7 & 8 of the office-note.

To, Subba Bhatla,

Order no 1681 dt 23-12-1910

Inform him of the same

9

From, The Dy Commr, Shimoga Dist

Letter no 228 dt 2-3-1911

Submits further reports

on the subject.

Regd)

The appellants who alleged before Court that the temple was in good repair, have deposed before the Dy. Commr on 28th Jan'y 1911, that the temple is in need of thorough repairs and that the Ashtabandhan ceremony without which no worship

Serial
No.

Can be offered to the Deities, has to be performed and have prayed for two years' time to reconstruct the temples.

The Dy. Commr. solicits a re-consideration of the Govt. order in question so far as it sets aside the Dy. Commr.'s. Hukum directing the recovery of the value of the produce of the Enam lands for the year 1908-9. He reports that the patta recovered the produce in question from the cultivators in spite of the Dy. Commr.'s order to the contrary, that one of the cultivators Melige Subha Bhatta produced its value viz Rs 1197-14-2 before the Amildar and the same was credited to the Treasury in favour of the instns, and that the apts filed suits against the said Subha Bhatta for recovery of the said amount and that these suits are still pending. That officer solicits specific orders as to whether the patta are entitled to a refund of this amount as also, of the value of the produce.

Serial
No.

for 1909-10 amounting to Rs 1851-12-10,
and adds that if these amounts
are refunded to the petre,
there will be no funds to repair
the instrus. The Dy. Commr therefore
requests that the order of 7th
Decr 1910 may be re-considered,
that the Temples may continue
under Gmt management, that
the petre Mhietha Bhatla may
be appointed as one of the
Dharmadarsis and petre Subba
Bhatla may continue to worship
the deities getting the remuneration
fixed therefor in the scale proposed
for sanction of Gmt.

Submitted for orders.

M(132) 8/2
18/3/1911

Inter-draft's initiated for
submission to the Commr

Alm-hungy

22/3/1911

Submitted with a rt to the Commr with

M(132) 8/2
22/3/1911

Alm-hungy

18/3/1911

Draft altered and appd by 2nd Br.

mt

Issue

30/3/1911

27/3/11

MB

1786.

Serial
No.

10

To

The Dy. Commr. Sheringa & Bote
No 2553 of 4.4.11

Directs that no recovery
need be made after the
date of the order and
that he may finally
dispose of the matter
in accordance with
the direction already
given.

2

[illegible][illegible]

[illegible][illegible][illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible][illegible]

G.R.S. 26]

File No.

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to 1588

No. 27/28 Su 6
Muz 395-09-2

General and Revenue Secretariat

, the

190

To

The Dy Comr?
Shimoga Dt.

Date of issue

13.5.10

Signed by

Mr. A. S. Dey

(with their enclosures)

Sir,

I am directed ~~to~~ to forward herewith ^{two} petitions ~~from~~ from Subba Bhatta & Bhishta Bhatta re the Archak Mahivat of Sri Gopalakrishna Devanu and Sri Rameswara Devanu temples at Gopalpura Agrahar, Tirthahally Taluk, and to request that a full report with records be submitted to this office. ~~I am also~~ ~~to add that~~ pending further orders no further coercive steps should be adopted against the petitioners.

SUBJECT.

^{over.} I have etc

15/5/10

For Secy.

Typed
M. S. 10

List of enclosures,

Copied by

Examined by

Repitio de l'entente
 note built
 17/1/1910

M. 7. 395-09-3.

ಪಟ್ಟಣದ ಈ ಮೇಯ ಪುರಸಭೆಯ ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ
 ಲೆಕ್ಕಾಚಾರವನ್ನು

ತೆರಳಿಸುವುದು (ಅಂಗೀಕರಿಸುವುದು) (ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ) 15-7-1910.

ಗ್ರಾಮ ಪಂಚಾಯತ್ ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ

3-1-10 ರಲ್ಲಿ ಲೆಕ್ಕಾಚಾರವನ್ನು ಲೆಕ್ಕಾಚಾರ ಮಾಡಿ
 ಕೆಳಕಂಡಂತಿರುವಂತೆ ಮಾಡುವುದು
 ಕೆಳಕಂಡಂತಿರುವಂತೆ ಮಾಡುವುದು
 ಕೆಳಕಂಡಂತಿರುವಂತೆ ಮಾಡುವುದು

1. ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಲೆಕ್ಕಾಚಾರವನ್ನು ಮಾಡುವುದು
1. ಕೆಳಕಂಡಂತಿರುವಂತೆ ಮಾಡುವುದು
1. ಕೆಳಕಂಡಂತಿರುವಂತೆ ಮಾಡುವುದು
1. ಕೆಳಕಂಡಂತಿರುವಂತೆ ಮಾಡುವುದು
1. ಕೆಳಕಂಡಂತಿರುವಂತೆ ಮಾಡುವುದು

11/1/12

Returned enclosures. Vide orders on Petu
 (p 13560) -
 D. No 1377 D. 3rd March 1912.

11/3/12

23. 10. 11

Despatched 4 "

Received
General No. 56
No. 10

Enclosure No. 2 and 1 file

AN.
Put up note (new file).
9/6
578710

61- Office of the Deputy Commissioner*
Shimoga District
Dated Shimoga 2nd August 1910

From K. Srinivasa Rao Esquire
Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

To C. S. Balasundaram Esq. B.A.
Offg. Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore
General and Revenue Departments
Muzrai Branch

Sir

I have the honor to state that the

1. Melige Village	Survey no 67	Garden 8 acres 3 guntas	Reservat Rs 122-0-0	marginally- noted Inam lands which
2. Hambala "	42	wet 6 acres 9 guntas	20-0-0	

stand jointly in the names of Krishnabhatta and others are admitted to belong to the temples of Sri Gopalakrishna Devam and Sri Rameswara Devam situate in the Gopalapura Agrahar, Jithahalli Taluk. The produce of these lands estimated at Rs 664/- was being enjoyed for several years by Subbabbhatta and Bhishnabhatta on the allegation that they were the Vahivatdars of the temples. When called upon by the Amildar, these alleged Vahivatdars failed to produce any authority in support of their claim. It was ascertained from enquiry instituted by the Amildar that no puja was being performed to the above deities and that the temples had


has been allowed to fall into a state of disrepair. In fact one of the alleged Vahivatdars viz., Bhishatabhatta was living at Araga which is at a distance of 19 miles from the place where the temples are situated. The Amildar reported these circumstances to the Deputy Commissioner in his Itilla No. 391 dated 30th September 1907 and solicited orders to take over the temples under Government management. The then Deputy Commissioner, Mr. Narain Rao directed in his order No. 1519 dated 4th May 1908 that the Vahivatdars should be dispossessed of the Duam lands in question and that the management thereof should be assumed by Government and that necessary arrangements should be made for the regular performance of puja to the deities and for the repair of the temples. This order was duly communicated to the so-called Vahivatdars but in spite of it, it was reported that they had taken away the crop from the cultivators during the year 1908-09. On this the Deputy Commissioner, Mr. Narain Rao, directed in his order No. 723 dated 26th November 1909 that the Vahivatdars should be required by notice to produce the value of the said crop within a prescribed time, failing which their moveables should be proceeded against. One of the Sagavalidars of the Duam lands, Subbabbhatta, Patel of

63 of Melige, who is said to have with-held the produce from the Vahivatdars from the year 1903-04 on the ground that Services in the temples were not regularly performed, produced the value of the same, viz, — Rs 1197-14-2. As per orders issued from this office, this amount as well as the income derived from the lands last year amounting in all to Rs 1851-12-60 have been credited to the Treasury in favour of the above institutions.

I submit herewith statements showing the annual income derivable from the above Inam lands and the scale of expenditure required for the performance of various Services in the temples and beg that for the reasons stated above sanction of Government may be kindly accorded to the ~~_____~~ aforesaid temples being taken over under Government Management as directed by my predecessor, Mr M. Narain Rao, and also to the scale of expenditure.

The connected records ending with —
Lithahalli Amildar's Stilla No: 1056
dated 22nd May 1910 are herewith
submitted for reference.

An estimate for the necessary —
repairs to be effected to the temples
will be obtained and submitted in due
course.

I have the honor to be
Your most ^{Sir} obedient Servant

Deputy Commissioner

Statement showing the income of Sri Gopala Krishna and
 Rameswara Devaras of Gopalapur Agrahar

64

Particulars	Rate	Amount	Total
<u>Land Income.</u>			
Areca nut 77 maneds	8-00	616	--
Paddy 54 Candies	1-80	81	--
<u>Miscellaneous</u>			
Kanike	-	3	--
Total		700	700--

Shimoga
 2nd August 1910

K. Hiruniah
 Deputy Commissioner
 Shimoga District

C13-1

395-05-6

9

1900-4

From K. Subbappa Rao Esquire
Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

To G.S. Balasubudaram Esquire
Offs. Secretary to the Government
General and Revenue Dept.
Muzrai Branch

Dated 17th August 1900

Despatched

Received

General No. 69
No. 12

Enclosure No. 1 file

With reference to his letter no:
2728/muz 395-09 dated 18th
May 1900 forwarding for report
petitions from Subbappa
and Bhishatabhatta of Sopalpur,
Tithahalli Taluk, in reply
reference to this office letter
no 56/10 dated 2nd instant.

av.
Continue note
36
24/8/10
22/8/10

Bld.
-12

Office of the Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District
Dated Shimoga 17th August 1910

From K. Srinivasa Rao Esquire
Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

To C. S. Balasundaram Iyer Esquire, B. A.
Offs. Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore
General and Revenue Departments
Muzrai Branch

Sir

Adverting to your letter No 2728 —
Muz 395-09 dated 13th May last
forwarding for report two petitions from
Subbabbhatta and Bhishatabhatta appealing
against the orders of my predecessor
directing the taking over under
Government management the temples
of Sri Gopala Krishna Devam and
Sri Ramesvara Devam at Gopalapur,
Tithahalli Taluk, I have the honor to
invite kind reference to my letter
No. 56/10 dated 2nd instant in which
the facts of the case have been
fully detailed.

2. The Amildar has been directed
not to take coercive measures for the
recovery

recovery of the amount due by the
petitioners until final orders are
passed by Government in the matter.

3. The petitions received with the
above are herewith returned.

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most obedient servant

R. Srinivasan

Deputy Commissioner

Shimoga District

CS

4

Of as per order

File No.

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE
SECRETARIAT.

()

READ—

, the

19

Date of issue

Signed by

Petition registered no D/2418
dated 5th Jan'y 1910 from Subba
Bhatta and Bhishka Bhatta
appealing against the order of the
By comm^r Shimoga District,
directing the recovery, by attach
ment and sale of the moveable
property of the petrs, of the
value of the produce received
by them, of the Inam land
endowed to the Sri Gopala
Krishna Devaru and Sri Ramasa,
Devaru Temples at Gopala-
pura Agrahar in the Jertmahal

over

SUBJECT.

Taluk.

Letter no D 250 dated 2nd
August 1910 reporting the circum-
stances under which the lands
of the said institutions were
taken under Govt manage-
ment and the order for recovery
of the ^{value of the} produce was issued
and submitting for the
sanction of Govt a scale of
exps in the instns

Order thereon - No

8

The petrs. allege that
the temples are in good repair,
that they have been perform-
ing puja regularly, and
that the lands yield
as much as they do
on account of the improve-
ments effected by the petrs
at their cost. The Dy
Commr is requested to hold
a further enquiry after
giving one more opportunity

GENERAL AND REVENUE
SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation Sheet draft form.)

to ~~petre~~ to represent their case and to pass a regular order. In the meantime the lands and Temples will continue under Govt management, the necessary tentative arrangement being made for the conduct of puja. Govt are also of opinion that it is scarcely fair to call upon the ~~petre~~ to pay up the value of the produce received by them. They are therefore pleased to set aside the order of the Dy. Commr directing the recovery of the value of the produce of the ~~man~~ lands for the year 1908-9.

2. Govt further observe that it may be possible to ^{imide for} repair the Temple out of the money now in the hands of Govt and to arrange for regular worship according to a fixed scale, for the maintenance of a reserve fund for repairs and to ~~for~~ supervision by Dharmadarsis without the

necessity of dislodging
the persons now in possess-
ion of the Temples.

28/11/1910

264)

For Secretary.

AM 132
28.11.10

26,

The Dy. Commr, Shindga
has in return the records.

30/11/10

72

mus 395-09-7

శివ రత్న వర్ణ విజ్ఞాన పీఠ వి
యోగ రత్న - పీఠ విజ్ఞాన

ఈ భగవద్గీతా చూరవలె
 తమ వామన భక్తి భక్తి
 గురు ఈ భగవద్గీతా గురు
 దూర భగవద్గీతా దూర
 నేమిట వ్రాసిన దా చూరవలె
 భక్తి భక్తి

శుభముల ప్రసాదం చేయవలసిందిగా
అనుమతి కోరుతున్నాను.
ప్రార్థన
ఈ సందర్భంగా మీకు శుభములు మరియు
ఆరోగ్యములు కలుగునట్లుగా ప్రార్థిస్తున్నాను.

ఎ. తి రత్న వ కుం మి
 మోళి నె ల ల వే ల మతి
 కుం త గుం నె య శ్చ రి వరి
 గుం వా య్చ. ౧ ౧౨౪ గుం గుం
 గోళి వా య్చ క్క వే న వం బంధ
 వల్ల క త వ ల్ల వా య్చ ప మ
 ర రి వీ ధ య్చ. ౧౨౪ గుం గుం
 గుం గుం కే వ ల య్చ.

ప్ర నా వ వల్ల రత్న

దే వ న్న క వ ల్ల కే ల దా క
 క్క వా వా ని క తి య్చ వ వా ని
 వ న్న కే నె వ్చ గుం గుం
 గె ర కే మ తి కేం త వల్ల వా
 గ వల్ల కే నె వ్చ క నె వ్చ వల్ల కే
 క్క వా వా ని క తి య్చ వ వా ని
 వల్ల కే నె వ్చ, గుం గుం వా వా ని
 గుం గుం వ న్న క వ వల్ల కే
 వల్ల కే నె వ్చ, గుం గుం వా వా ని
 క్క వా వా ని క తి య్చ వ వా ని
 వల్ల కే నె వ్చ క నె వ్చ వా వా ని,

ಸುಖ 1908-9 ಸೇವಾ ಸ್ಥಾನ
 ಸಮೀಪ ದಾಖಲೆ ವರ್ಗವಾಗಿ
 ಸಿದ್ಧವಾದ ವಸೂಲಾತರ ಪೂರೈ
 ಕೆ 3-1 ರವರು ಮಾತಿ ರತ್ತ
 ಕಾರಣಕ್ಕೆ ರದ್ದು ಮಾಡುವುದಾಗಿ
 ನವಾ ಸ್ಥಳೀಯರ ದಿವಾನ
 ಸಾರ್ವ - ಸ್ವಾಮ್ಯವಾ

By order,
 19.12.00
 P. R. offg. Secretary
 19/12/00

21492
1907 395-09-9
From The Deputy Commissioner
Shirangadi District

To The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
- General & Revenue Dept.

Dated Mysore Branch
2nd March 1911.

Despatched

Received

General No. 278

No. 55

Enclosure No.

Continued
note on
Bd 6/3/11 8/3/11
paid
Bd 6/3/11 8/3/11
Bd 6/3/11 8/3/11

Submits a report
with reference to
Government Proceeding
No: 1527 dated 7th
Mar 395-09-6
December last, in
the matter of the appeal
of Subba Shatta and
Prista Shatta against
the order of the Deputy
Deputy Commissioner
directing the recovery
from them of the value of
the produce for 1908-09 of
the lands belonging to
Sri Gopala Krishna a
Devam and Sri Ramaswara
Devam at Gopalpura
Aghra, Fithahabad

No 278
15⁵⁵

Deputy Commissioner's Office,
Shimoga District
Shimoga - 2nd March
1911

From.

H. S. Munasa Row Esqr
Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

To C. S. Balasundaram Esqr BA,
Offg. Secretary to the Government.
of Mysore, General & Revenue Dept.
Mysore Branch.

Sir,

Referring to Government
Proceedings no. 1527 dated 27th
^{7th 395-09-6}
December last in the matter of
the appeal of Subba Bhatta and
Bhista Bhatta against the order of
the Deputy Commissioner directing
the recovery from them of the
value of the produce for 1908-09 of the
lands belonging to Sri Gopala-
Krishna Devaru at Gopalapura
Agrahar, Firthahalli Taluk, I have
the

the honor to state that as directed in the above, I secured the attendance of the two petitioners and examined them as to what they had to say as regards the disrepair of the temples and the performance of the puja to the deities. Their statements, recorded before me on 28th January 1911 are herewith submitted, for the information of Government.

2. My predecessor Mr. Narain Das had directed the assumption by Government of the management of the two temples on the ground that they were for several years in a state of disrepair, that puja was not regularly performed to the deities and that the temple lands had been alienated.

3. In their statements before me the petitioners have admitted that the temples are in need of thorough repairs and that the Astabandhana Ceremony without which no

worship

Can be offered to the dieties has
to be performed and pray for two ||
years time to reconstruct the ||
temples.

4 In the above proceedings the
Government have further set
aside the Deputy Commissioner's order
regarding the recovery from the
petitioners of the value of the
produce of the temple lands
for the year 1908-09. In this connection
I beg to state that the petitioners
recovered the produce in question
from the cultivators in spite of
predecessors order to the contrary
one of the cultivators viz Meliy
Subba Bhatta withheld the produce
from the petitioners, as per orders
issued by the Assistant Commissioner
and produced the value of the same
amounting to Rs 1197-14-2 before the
Amildar and the same was credited
to Treasury in favor of the
temples. The petitioners filed a suit
against this Subba Bhatta for the
above amount and the latter
having applied to the Deputy
Commissioner for moving the
Court

Court to Cancel the writ, my predecessor directed that he might produce in the Civil Court the receipt given to him by the Auditor in respect of the above amount. This case is still pending. I desire to know if in the light of the orders of Government, the petitioners are entitled to a refund of this amount as also of the value of the produce for 1909-10 amounting in all to Rs 1851-12-10, which is now held in the Treasury at the credit of the temple as reported in my previous letter.

5. If the reply to the above be in the affirmative, there will be no funds where with to repay the temple as directed in para 3 of the order under reference.

6. In these circumstances I beg to request that the above order may be reconsidered and that the temple may continue to remain under

Government


Government management.
Petitioner, Bhistabhatta, who is
said to be interested in the
welfare of the temples may
be appointed as one of the
Dharmadarsis and petitioner
Subha Bhatta may continue
to worship the deities as
heretofore getting the remuneration
fixed for this service in the scale
proposed for sanction of Govern-
ment

The Connected records are
herewith submitted for reference

I have the honour

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,


Deputy Commissioner

Wingga District

Diary No. of letter replied to 1492

General and Rev. Secretariat

(

)

, the

190 .

To

No. 2552 File
 Dms 395-09 10
 D 4/4/11
 The Dy Commr,
 Shimoga Dist

Sir,

Date of issue

Signed by

(No & date here)
1527 dated 7th Decr
 1910
 Dms 7 395-09-8

With reference to
 your letter no 278 dated
22⁵⁵ March 1911 suggesting
 a re-consideration of the
 order of Govt setting aside
 the order of the Dy. Commr
 directing the recovery from
 the apts Subba Shatta and
 Shishita Shatta of the value
 of the produce of the Inam
 lands belonging to Sri
 Gopalakrishna Devare and
 Sri Ramaswara Devare at
 Gopalapura Agrahara
 in the Terthahalli Taluk

over.

SUBJECT.

~~Like~~ The year 1908-9, I am
 directed to observe that the intention of the
 scope of the order is explained in the penultimate sentence
 of para 1, of proceedings no
 1527/Muz 7 39509-8 dated 7th
 Decr 1910, from which it will
 be seen that Govt ^{of Ind} should
 not be called upon to pay
 up the value of the produce
 received by them the value
 of produce received by Govt
 whether for 1908-9 or 1909-10
 has not to be refunded.

The intention of the
 order as may
 be gathered
 its terms all
 read together
 was not that
 any amount
 bected actually
 shd be
 ed to pfrs,
 that no
 need be made
 after the date
 of the order

As the records are herewith
 returned

I have etc

Dtd
 22/3/11
 23/3/11
 offg. Secretary

The D.C. may now
 finally dispose
 of the matter
 in accordance
 with the direction
 already given

copy
 1/4/11

27/3/11
 Onid 01
 Laminated 1

505.00 No. 1377

6-3-12

395-09

13

ANA

U.S.

6/3/12

ಪ್ರಾಚೀನ - ಪುರಾತನ - ಪುರಾತನ - ಪುರಾತನ - ಪುರಾತನ

ಪ್ರಾಚೀನ - ಪುರಾತನ - ಪುರಾತನ - ಪುರಾತನ - ಪುರಾತನ

ಪ್ರಾಚೀನ - ಪುರಾತನ - ಪುರಾತನ - ಪುರಾತನ - ಪುರಾತನ

ಪ್ರಾಚೀನ - ಪುರಾತನ - ಪುರಾತನ - ಪುರಾತನ - ಪುರಾತನ

The enclosures referred to are here Shikha
orders for their return. These were produced
by Shikha Khatta & may be returned to
him.

6-3-12

1910

395-09-1

[illegible]

১৯৩০



16/3/12

10/31/12